

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | . П | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/031,100 | /031,100 04/17/2002 | | Bernhard Siebold | G-32210A/GBG | 6036 |
| 1095 | 7590 | 09/12/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| NOVART | | TECTUAL PROPE | GHALI, I | GHALI, ISIS A D | |
| CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ONE HEALTH PLAZA 104/3 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| EAST HANOVER, NJ 07936-1080 | | | | 1615 | |

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/031,100 | SIEBOLD ET AL. | 1 | | | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Isis Ghali | 1615 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence addres | ss | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| . Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, which ever is letter. In no | | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | | RST REPLY WAS FILED V | VITHIN TWO | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension for final Office action; or (2) as | ee under 37 set forth in (b) | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01 July 2005</u>. A brief if date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacement. | any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3 | 37(e)), to avoid dismissa | al of the | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re | TE below); educing or simplifying th | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling | | | | | | | | |
| the non-allowable claim(s). | mowable ii submitted iii a separate | , umery nied amendmen | it cancering | | | | | |
| 7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ worlded below or appended. | vill be entered and an ex | planation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>35-54</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fails | to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attache | ed. | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowanc | e because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 7:

The amendment has been entered to overcome the new matter rejection of claims 35-54 under 112 first paragraph. However, the anticipatory and obviousness rejections are maintained for reasons of record.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER